UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case #14-CR-6136-FPG

v.

DECISION AND ORDER

CHARLTON OSBOURNE, a/k/a Big Man,

Defendant.

By Text Order dated August 26, 2014, this case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Jonathan W. Feldman, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(A) and (B). ECF No. 4. Defendant Charlton Osbourne, a/k/a Big Man is charged in Count 2 of the Second Superseding Indictment with conspiring to possess with intent to distribute cocaine and cocaine base in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846, and in Count 3 with conspiring to commit money laundering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h). ECF No. 55.

Defendant has moved to suppress statements he made to law enforcement on June 26, 2014 after being taken into custody following a search of his residence. ECF No. 150. After receiving briefing from the parties and conducting a suppression hearing, Magistrate Judge Feldman issued his Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 308), which recommends the denial of Defendant's suppression motion. No objections have been filed to the Report and Recommendation, and the time to do so has now expired.

In reviewing a Report and Recommendation, this Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Since no objections were filed, this Court is not required to conduct a *de novo* review of Magistrate Judge Feldman's Report and Recommendation. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S.

140, 150 (1985) ("It does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a

magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither

party objects to those findings"); see also United States v. Male Juvenile, 121 F.3d 34, 38 (2d

Cir. 1997) ("We have adopted the rule that failure to object timely to a magistrate judge's report

may operate as a waiver of any further judicial review of the decision, as long as the parties

receive clear notice of the consequences of their failure to object."). Magistrate Judge Feldman

also reminded the parties of Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(2), stating that "Failure to file objections

within the specified time or to request and extension of such time waives the right to appeal

the District Court's Order." ECF No. 308. (Emphasis in original).

Since no objections have been filed, this Court accepts and adopts the Report and

Recommendation filed by United States Magistrate Judge Jonathan W. Feldman (ECF No. 308)

in its entirety, and Defendant's motion to suppress (ECF No. 150) is denied in all respects.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 6, 2017

Rochester, New York

HON. FRANK P. GERACJ, JR.

Chief Judge

United States District Court